Record of Proceedings dated 06.09.2018

O. P. No. 45 of 2018

M/s. Tata Power Renewable Energy Ltd. Vs. Spl. Chief Secretary to Energy Department & TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (5) days

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O.P. No. 46 of 2018

M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Ltd. Vs. TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed claiming the units fed into grid by the petitioner's 8.24 MW solar plant from the date of synchronization to the date of LTOA agreement as deemed to have been banked or in alternative to pay @ Rs.6.78 / unit.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter

affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 47 of 2018

M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed claiming the units fed into grid by the petitioner's 8 MW solar plant from the date of synchronization to the date of LTOA agreement as deemed to have been banked or in alternative to pay @ Rs.6.78 / unit

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 48 of 2018 & I. A. No. 24 of 2018

M/s. Padmajiwadi Solar Pvt. Ltd. . Vs. TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (176) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,00,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release / return the PBG of

Rs.1,00,00,000/- & Rs. 70,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs.30,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,00,00,000/- and Rs. 70,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 49 of 2018 & I. A. No. 25 of 2018

M/s. Tukkapur Solar Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (241) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,50,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release the PBG of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- & Rs. 1,50,00,000/- in favour of the petitioner and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs. 45,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- and Rs. 1,50,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought

adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 50 of 2018 & I. A. No. 26 of 2018

M/s. Ghanpur Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (243) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,50,00,000/- & Rs. 1,05,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release the PBG of Rs. 1,50,00,000/- & Rs. 1,05,00,000/- in favour of the petitioner and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs. 45,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- and Rs. 1,50,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the

respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 51 of 2018 & I. A. No. 27 of 2018

M/s. Renjal Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (224) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,50,00,000/- & Rs. 1,05,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release the PBG of Rs. 1,50,00,000/- & Rs. 1,05,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs. 45,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs. 1,50,00,000/- and Rs. 1,05,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman O. P. No. 52 of 2018 & I. A. No. 28 of 2018

M/s. Gummadidala Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (269) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,50,00,000/- & Rs. 1,05,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release and return the PBG of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- & Rs. 1,50,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs. 45,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- and Rs. 1,50,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 53 of 2018 & I. A. No. 29 of 2018

M/s. Achampet Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (184) days, restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs.1,00,00,000/- & Rs. 70,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner under PPA, direction to the respondent to release and return the PBG of Rs. 1,00,00,000/- & Rs. 70,00,000/- submitted by the petitioner and also direction to the respondent to refund the amount of PBG of Rs. 30,00,000/- that was encashed by the respondent with interest @ 12% p.a. till realisation to the petitioner.

I. A. filed restraining the respondent from invoking the PBG of Rs. 1,00,00,000/- & Rs.70,00,000/- till final disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Tarun Johri, Advocate along with Sri. Pradeep Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 54 of 2018

TSSPDCL Vs. M/s. Mittal Processors Pvt. Ltd.

Petition filed seeking recovery of outstanding amounts payable by the respondent towards compensation and also refund of STOA charges as per short term power purchase orders dt. 29.04.2014 and 12.02.2014.

Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the petitioner with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate and Sri. Abhinav Krishna Uppaluri, Advocate for the respondent are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the respondent has filed the counter affidavit along with

vakalat, which was advised to be filed in the office. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing rejoinder to the counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 55 of 2018

M/s. Avighna Solarfarms Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (122) days

Sri. S. Subba Reddy, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 56 of 2018

M/s. Amun Solarfarms Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for granting extension of time for SCOD for (104) days

Sri. S. Subba Reddy, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the

information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that wherever the counter affidavit is filed, the petitioner may be permitted to file the rejoinder. In such cases, where the counter affidavit is not filed the respondents may be directed to file the counter affidavit as early as possible and allow the petitioner to file a rejoinder to such counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 57 of 2018

M/s. Gayatri Power Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for 2.2 MW mini hydel power plant of the petitioner at Rs.5/- (levelized for 25 years) or appropriate rate as determined by the Commission.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the respondent sought time to file counter affidavit.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

I. A. No. 33 of 2018 in O. P. No. 26 of 2016

TSGENCO Vs. TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking amendment of tariff order for generation of power for the control period for FY 2014-2019.

Sri. K. Anandam, Chief Engineer (Coal & Comml.) for TSGENCO for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the respondents sought time for filing counter affidavit. He also stated and requested for adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.

Call on 29.09.2018 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-Chairman

I. A. No. 38 of 2018 In R.P.(SR) No. 113 of 2018 in O. P. No. 10 of 2018

M/s. ACME Solar Power Technology Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Application filed seeking interim orders directing the respondent No. 1 to clear the pending invoices of Rs.19,34,91,784/- with interest thereon @ 12% within 7 days.

Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, Advocate representing HAS Advocates for the applicant and Sri. Reddy and Sri. Mast Ram Deswal, representatives of the petitioner are present. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents along with Ms. M. Pravalika, Advocate are present. The counsel for the parties have sought adjournment of the hearing in view of the information available to them that the Chairman is indisposed, but attended the hearing. The counsel for the petitioner stated that there is urgency in the matter and directions are required to be passed pending application. However, the counsel for the respondents sought time for filing counter in the application and also represented that no order be passed without filing of counter affidavit. He also sought to remind the Commission that the senior counsel had requested and agreed to the adjournment of the hearing in the application while representing other matters on 05.09.2018 to 22.09.2018.

The Commission, agreeing to the request of the counsel for the parties, adjourned the hearing.